

Neuro-Informed Instructional Design: A Unified Framework

Digital learning environments are essential in higher education, relying on user interfaces and instructional design to deliver content. However, neurodiverse students often struggle due to poor navigation, overwhelming interfaces, and a lack of assistive technologies. These challenges increase cognitive load and hinder academic success, highlighting the need for cognitive accessibility tailored to their needs.

Neurodiverse students frequently experience decreased motivation and underperformance in demanding academic settings. Many digital platforms do not address their specific challenges, leading to increased stress and anxiety. Additionally, the lack of customized assessments and instructional design further complicates their ability to manage cognitive load. Addressing these issues requires a framework that aligns the needs of neurodiverse learners with accessible digital learning environments.

To effectively address challenges faced by neurodiverse learners in online settings, a robust framework is essential. This framework combines evidence-based cognitive strategies and personalized instructional design, utilizing models such as Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) (Wheeler, 2024), the FEDIS+R Model for Instructional Design (LeCunff et al., 2024), the AI-Course Planning Framework (Schleiss, 2025), Universal Design for Learning (UDL) Guidelines (Cast, 2024), and the AI-Driven Cognitive Personalization and Assistance Framework (Ouyang & Jiao, 2021). It includes four interconnected components:

1. Cognitive Load Management: utilizes strategies like information chunking, clear instructions, and visual aids to manage cognitive load
2. Neurodiversity-Informed Design: creates learning environments that accommodate diverse learning styles and strengths

3. Adaptive Learning Technologies: uses AI-driven tools to personalize learning experiences and track progress
4. Continuous Assessment and Refinement: involves ongoing evaluation, feedback, and refinement of the framework for effectiveness

The framework offers significant benefits that enhance the learning experience by managing cognitive load and fostering inclusive environments through neurodiversity-informed instructional design. Adaptive learning technologies utilize AI to personalize support, promote engagement, and ensure data protection, while continuous assessment allows for real-world insights and improvements.

Adopting this neuro-informed approach particularly benefits neurodivergent learners by enhancing self-regulated learning and executive function, ultimately improving emotional well-being and academic performance. The integration of AI in education, exemplified by tools like chatbots and customizable interfaces, can create adaptive learning environments that support cognitive accessibility, showcasing its transformative potential despite being a relatively new technology.

Despite its potential, three main issues hinder the future use of AI-assisted learning:

1. Lack of Neuro-Informed Frameworks: Higher education lacks effective pedagogy for neurodiverse learners, which is marked by insufficient research on their unique cognitive load patterns (Hamilton & Petty, 2023; Le Cunff et al., 2024), highlighting the need for a more inclusive educational approach.
2. Gaps in Instructional Design Research: There is a lack of integration for neurodivergent intelligences and culturally relevant strategies in instructional design (Friedman & Nash-Lukenback, 2023). Also, limited research on scaffolding in online

- learning shows a pressing need for better accessibility and accommodations for adult learners (Doo et al., 2020; Kelly, 2024).
3. Novelty of AI-Assisted Learning: The integration of AI in education lacks established pedagogical frameworks, raising ethical concerns about data collection, learners' rights, and information protection (Diaz & Nussbaum, 2024; Johri et al., 2024; Schleiss et al., 2022, 2023).

Integrating AI into education offers exciting opportunities but also complexities that must be addressed carefully. It's essential to consider ethical implications and approach these technologies responsibly to enhance the learning environment, especially for neurodiverse learners. Safeguarding student data and emphasizing transparency are critical for fostering a secure and supportive setting. Raising awareness of AI among students is also important for encouraging thoughtful engagement.

A proposed framework can help create accessible digital learning spaces for neurodiverse learners through effective cognitive load management, neurodiversity-informed design, adaptive technologies, and continuous improvement. As AI becomes integral to education, ongoing discussions and research are vital for ensuring its ethical use. To bridge the gap between theory and practice, challenges posed by the lack of a unified framework for cognitive accessibility in digital platforms must be addressed immediately by educators, researchers, and policymakers.

References

- An, H. & Sung, W. (2024, February 26). Thinkering, making, sharing, and reflecting: A model for online collaborative project-based learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*.
<https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/2/thinkering-making-sharing-and-reflecting-a-model-for-online-collaborative-project-based-learning>
- Artificial intelligence in K-12 education: Unintended consequences lurk, report warns. (2020, May 28). *EducationWeek*. <https://www.edweek.org/technology/artificial-intelligence-in-k-12-education-unintended-consequences-lurk-report-warns/2020/05>
- Atiomo, W. (2020). Emotional well-being, cognitive load and academic attainment. *MedEdPublish*, 9(118). <https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2020.000118.1>
- Bailey, J. & Warner, J. (2025, Winter). AI tutors: Hype or hope for education? *Education Next*, 25(1), 62-71. <https://www.educationnext.org/ai-tutors-hype-or-hope-for-education-forum/>
- CAST (2024). Universal design for learning guidelines, version 3.0. <https://udlguidelines.cast.org>
- Díaz, B. & Nussbaum, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence for teaching and learning in schools: The need for pedagogical intelligence. *Computers & Education*, 217, 105071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2024.105071>
- Doo, M.Y., Bonk, C. J., & Heo, H. (2020). A meta-analysis of scaffolding effects in online learning in higher education. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 21(3), 60-80. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1267049.pdf>
- DuPau, G. J., Franklin, M. K., Pollack, B. L., Stack, K. S., Jaffe, A. R., Gormley, M. J., ... & Weyandt, L. L. (2018). Predictors and trajectories of educational functioning in

- college students with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of postsecondary education and disability*, 31(2), 161. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6586431/>
- Figueroa, A. M., & Juárez-Ramírez, R. (2017). The problem of cognitive load in GUI's: Towards establishing the relationship between cognitive load and our executive functions. *2017 IEEE 41st Annual Computer Software and Applications Conference (COMPSAC)*, 561-565. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8029990>
- Friedman, Z.L., & Nash-Luckenbach, D. (2024). Has the time come for Heutagogy? Supporting neurodivergent learners in higher education. *Higher Education*, 87, 1905–1920. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-023-01097-7>
- Halkiopoulos, C. & Gkintoni, E. (2024). Leveraging AI in e-Learning: Personalized learning and adaptive assessment through cognitive neuropsychology—A systematic analysis. *Electronics*, 13, 3762. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13183762>
- Hamilton, L. G. & Petty, S. (2023). Compassionate pedagogy for neurodiversity in higher education: A conceptual analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1093290>
- Johri, A., Hingle, A., & Schleiss, J. (2024). Misconceptions, pragmatism, and value tensions: Evaluating students' understanding and perception of generative AI for education. *ArXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.22289>
- Kelly, K. (2024). Building scaffolding instead of barriers: Accessibility for neurodivergent law student [Manuscript submitted for publication]. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4958152>
- Kelly, R. (2024, August 12). Survey: Top teacher uses of AI in the classroom. *The*

- Journal*. <https://thejournal.com/articles/2024/08/12/survey-top-teacher-uses-of-ai-in-the-classroom>
- Kelly, R. (2025, January 23). 2025 predictions: AI's impact on education. *The Journal*.
<https://thejournal.com/Articles/2025/01/23/2025-Predictions-AIs-Impact-on-Education>
- Khanmigo. (2025). <https://www.khanmigo.ai/>
- Le Cunff, A.L., Giampietro, V., & Dommett, E. (2024). Neurodiversity and cognitive load in online learning: A systematic review with narrative synthesis. *Educational Research Review*, 43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2024.100604>
- Meijs, C., Gijssels, H. J. M., Xu, K. M., Kirschner, P. A., & De Groot, R. H. M. (2021). The relation between cognitively measured executive functions and reported self-regulated learning strategy use in adult online distance education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.641972>
- Ng, D. T. K., Tan, C. W., & Leung, J. K. L. (2024). Empowering student self-regulated learning and science education through ChatGPT: A pioneering pilot study. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 55(4), 1328–1353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13454>
- Ouyang, F., & Jiao, P. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Education: The three paradigms. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100020>
- Pelletier, K., McCormack, M., Muscanell, N., Reeves, J., Robert, J., & Arbino, N. (2024). EDUCAUSE horizon report, Teaching and learning edition. *EDUCAUSE*. <https://library.educause.edu/-/media/files/library/2024/5/2024hrteachinglearning.pdf?hash=2f33d31e-8dc9-48fd-85c4-43ee96acdbea&utm>

Poth, R. D. (2023, October 20). 7 AI tools that help teachers work more efficiently.

Edutopia. <https://www.edutopia.org/article/7-ai-tools-that-help-teachers-work-more-efficiently>

Schleiss, J., Günther, K., & Stober, S. (2022). Protecting student data in ML pipelines:

An overview of privacy-preserving ML. In M.M. Rodrigo, N. Matsuda, A.I. Cristea, & V. Dimitrova (Eds) *Artificial Intelligence in Education. Posters and Late Breaking Results, Workshops and Tutorials, Industry and Innovation Tracks, Practitioners' and Doctoral Consortium. AIED 2022. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, (13356).

Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-11647-6_109

Schleiss, J., Laupichler, M. C., Raupach, T., & Stober, S. (2023). AI course design

planning framework: Developing domain-specific AI education courses. *Education Sciences*, 13(9), 954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13090954>

Thanaraj, A., Durston, P., Chathangoth, A., & Sumpter, J. (2022, July 29). The digital

learning design framework and toolkit: Transforming course design. *EDUCAUSE Review*. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2022/7/the-digital-learning-design-framework-and-toolkit-transforming-course-design>

Torres, J. T. & Nemeroff, A. (2024, April 15). Are we asking the wrong questions about

ChatGPT? *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. https://www.chronicle.com/article/are-we-asking-the-wrong-questions-about-chatgpt?utm_source=chatgpt.com&bc_nonce=im1t6sb46bb5ifz7rnke9&cid=reg_wall_signup

Wargo, K. & Anderson, B. (2024, December). Striking a balance: Navigating the ethical

dilemmas of AI in higher education. *EDUCAUSE Review*. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/12/striking-a-balance-navigating-the-ethical-dilemmas-of-ai-in-higher-education>

Wheeler, D. (2024). Instructional design on neurodiverse learners' cognitive load in online learning environments: A phenomenological study (Publication No. 31335052) [Doctoral dissertation, South College]. *ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global*. <http://mutex.gmu.edu/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/instructional-design-on-neurodiverse-learners/docview/3074113209/se-2>

Yin, W. (2024, January 24). Will our educational system keep pace with AI? A student's perspective on AI and learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/1/will-our-educational-system-keep-pace-with-ai-a-students-perspective-on-ai-and-learning>

Yu, L., Shek, D. T., & Zhu, X. (2018). The influence of personal well-being on learning achievement in university students over time: Mediating or moderating effects of internal and external university engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 2287. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02287>